

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/970,389	10/02/2001	Donald J. Merkley	HARD1.015A	9683
20995	7590 03/01/2005		EXAMINER	
	MARTENS OLSON &	ALVO, MARC S		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/970,389	MERKLEY ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steve Alvo	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied in the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period find the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  le. cause the application to become ABANDONE	mety filed ys will be considered timety. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
<b>,</b> — ,					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 25-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 25-48 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Applica  iority documents have been received  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-2404.	Paper No(s)/Mail				

Application/Control Number: 09/970,389

Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over NAJI et al (6,030,447) in view of the ADMITTED PRIOR ART (specification, paragraph [0031] and Table 1) with or without WINGERSON (6,419,788).

NAJI et al teaches a composite building material comprising cellulose fibers which reinforce he product (column 2, lines 7-19, and an aggregate (siliceous material. see abstract), a density modifier (column 2, line 21) and one or more additives (column 2. lines 20-31). The ADMITTED PRIOR ART teaches that conventional pulping and washing at conventional temperatures (55-60 °C) results in cellulose fibers, e.g. the cellulose fibers of NAJI et al, having a COD content of 5 kg/ton. The claimed "less than about 5 kg/ton" (emphasis added) would read on 5 kg/ton ± 10%, e.g. "less than 4.5 to 5.5 kg/ton". The "5.5 kg/ton", which reads on the claimed "less than 4.5 to 5.5 kg/ton" does not define over the washing of the ADMITTED PRIOR ART or the product of NAJI et al. If necessary, WINGERSON teaches producing substantially pure cellulose (column 3, line 56) by first treating cellulosic material with steam and then washing with alkaline hot wash water containing dissolved oxygen at elevated temperatures, e.g. 180 to 240 °C, to remove decompose, mobilize and remove lignin, extractives and residual hemicellulose, e.g. the COD's. It would have been obvious to the artisan to further remove the COD's of NAJI et al and/or the ADMITTED PRIOR ART using the elevated washing temperatures of WINGERSON. Such a washing would lower the COD's of NAJI et al

Application/Control Number: 09/970,389

Art Unit: 1731

to less than 5 kg/ton. It is noted that the instant process can also use oxidized wash liquor, e.g. the same wash water used by WINGERSON, see instant specification, page 3, [0009].

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 7, the Serial Numbers and status are missing from the cited Applications.

Appropriate correction is required.

SVEDMAN cited of interest to teach washing pulp at temperatures of 70 to 75 °C.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 24, 2005 has been entered.

Applicant's arguments are moot due to the new art rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is 571-272-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:45 AM - 2:15 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,389

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steve Alvo Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731

msa